

The effect of universal testing and treatment for HIV on health-related quality of life – data from the HPTN 071 (PopART) cluster randomised trial in Zambia and South Africa

Katherine Davis¹, Michael Pickles¹, Simon Gregson¹, James Hargreaves², Helen Ayles², Peter Bock³, Triantafyllos Pliakas², Ranjeeta Thomas⁴, Julius Ohrnberger¹, Justin Bwalya⁵, Nomtha Bell-Mandla³, Kwame Shanaube⁵, William Probert⁶, Graeme Hoddinott⁴, Virginia Bond^{2,5}, Richard Hayes², Sarah Fidler¹, and Katharina Hauck¹ for the HPTN 071 (PopART) Study Team

¹Imperial College London, London, UK ²London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK ³University of Stellenbosch, Cape Town, South Africa ⁴London School of Economics, London, UK ⁵University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia ⁶University of Oxford, Oxford, UK Email: <u>k.davis18@imperial.ac.uk</u> Twitter: <u>@kd_katdavis</u>

BACKGROUND

- HIV treatment has clear Health-Related Quality-of-Life (HRQoL) benefits.^{1,2} However, little is known about how Universal Testing and Treatment (UTT) for HIV affects HRQoL.³
- Using data from HPTN 071 (PopART), we examined the effect of a combination prevention intervention, including UTT, on HRQoL among people living with HIV.^{4,5}



METHODS

- HPTN 071 (PopART) was a three-arm cluster randomised controlled trial in 21 urban and peri-urban communities in Zambia and South Africa, performed between 2013 and 2018.^{4,5}
- In the trial, Arm A communities received the full UTT intervention of door-to-door HIV testing plus access to antiretroviral therapy (ART) regardless of CD4 count, Arm B communities received the intervention but followed national treatment guidelines (universal ART from 2016) and Arm C communities received standard care (Fig. 1).
- The intervention effect was measured in a general population cohort of randomly selected adults (18-44 years) in randomly selected households, using data from baseline, 12 months, 24 months and 36 months.
- In the cohort, HRQoL scores (range: 0-1), and the prevalence of problems in five dimensions of HRQoL (mobility, self-care, performing daily activities, pain or discomfort, and anxiety or depression) were assessed using the EuroQol-5-dimensions-5-levels questionnaire (EQ-5D-5L).⁶



- For this analysis, data from people living with HIV with laboratory confirmed HIV status were selected. This means that the data included was
 from people living with HIV in the general population, rather than a sample of people with advanced disease. The people living with HIV did not
 have to know their status to be included in the analysis.
- HRQoL at 36 months was compared between the trial arms using two-stage cluster-level analyses, controlling for baseline imbalances in language(s) used to complete the survey (as this may affect interpretation of the EQ-5D-5L), wealth and HRQoL, as well as age and gender.

Infineurate Ant	ANTIMUALION	ANTIMUATION	
irrespective of	according to	according to	
CD4 count	current national	current national	
	guidelines	guidelines	

FIGURE 1. Design of the HPTN 071 (PopART study).

Universal testing and treatment was not associated with a change in overall health-related quality of life among people living with HIV over 36 months



RESULTS

- At baseline, 7,856 people living with HIV were enrolled and provided HRQoL data, of whom 84.4% were women (Table 1).
- The mean HRQoL score was 0.886 (95% confidence interval: 0.884-0.888) in Arm A, 0.884 (0.882-0.886) in Arm B and 0.881 (0.879-0.884) in Arm C at the start of the trial.
- At 36 months, the mean HRQoL score was 0.893 (95% confidence interval:0.891-0.894) in Arm A, 0.888 (0.886-0.890) in Arm B and 0.891 (0.889-0.892) in Arm C.
- There was no evidence of a difference in HRQoL scores between arms (adjusted mean difference, A vs C:0.003, -0.001-0.006; B vs C:-0.004, -0.014-0.005, Fig. 2).
- However, the geometric mean prevalence of problems with pain or discomfort was 2.4% in Arm A, 7.5% in Arm B and 7.8% in Arm C, with prevalence lower in Arm A than C (adjusted prevalence ratio:0.37, 0.14-0.97, Fig. 3).
- There was no evidence of a difference in effect between men and women for HRQoL scores or problems with pain or discomfort.







FIGURE 3. Adjusted estimates of prevalence ratios for problems with pain or discomfort at 36 months. Ratios less than one indicate fewer problems under the intervention. A log scale is used. Error bars: 95% confidence intervals.

TABLE 1. Baseline characteristics.

	Arm A (n=2,534)	Arm B (n=2,703)	Arm C (n=2,619)
Gender: female	2,131 (84.1%)	2,300 (85.1%)	2,200 (84.0%)
Mean age (years)	31	31	31
Mean health-related quality of life score	0.886	0.884	0.881
Mobility: reports any problems walking around	65 (2.6%)	78 (2.9%)	102 (3.9%)
Self-care: reports any problems washing and dressing	52 (2.1%)	82 (3.0%)	87 (3.3%)
Daily activities: reports any problems doing daily activities	97 (3.8%)	115 (4.3%)	123 (4.7%)
Pain or discomfort: reports any problems with pain or discomfort	230 (9.1%)	296 (11.0%)	323 (12.3%)
Anxiety or depression: reports any problems with anxiety or depression	235 (9.3%)	226 (8.4%)	189 (7.2%)

CONCLUSIONS

- There was no evidence that the HPTN 071 (PopART) UTT intervention changed overall HRQoL.
- This may be due, in part, to the relatively high HRQoL scores among people living with HIV at baseline, which left limited scope for large improvements. Consequently, it might be suggested that resources for raising HRQoL should be focused on other settings with greater potential for increases in HRQoL.
- However, our result also highlights that, in this setting, further improving HRQoL among people living with HIV will require more than enhanced access to testing and treatment. While UTT can reduce HIV incidence and ART can increase longevity, some remaining barriers to high HRQoL, such as experiences of HIV-related stigma, may not have been affected by the HPTN 071 (PopART) intervention. Investment in these areas could boost HRQoL.
- Finally, people living with HIV had fewer problems with pain or discomfort in Arm A than Arm C, but there was no evidence of a difference in pain or discomfort between Arm B and Arm C. The disparity between Arm A and Arm B may reflect the longer time, on average, that people living with HIV had been on ART in Arm A. In any case, this benefit of UTT should be maximised as roll-out continues.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lifson AR, Grund B, Gardner EM, et al. Improved quality of life with immediate versus deferred initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. AIDS. 2017; **31**: 953–63. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0000000000001417
- 2. Louwagie GM, Bachmann MO, Meyer K, et al. Highly active antiretroviral treatment and health related quality of life in South African adults with human immunodeficiency virus infection: A cross-sectional analytical study. BMC Public Health 2007;7:1–10. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-7-244
- 3. Orne-Gliemann J, Larmarange J, Boyer S, et al. Addressing social issues in a universal HIV test and treat intervention trial (ANRS 12249 TasP) in South Africa: Methods for appraisal. BMC Public Health 2015; 15: 209. doi: 10.1186/s12889-015-1344-y
- 4. Hayes R, Ayles H, Beyers N, et al. HPTN 071 (PopART): Rationale and design of a cluster-randomised trial of the population impact of an HIV combination prevention including universal testing and treatment a study protocol for a cluster randomised trial. Trials 2014; 15: 1–17. doi: 10.1186/1745-6215-15-57
- 5. Hayes RJ, Donnell D, Floyd S, et al. Effect of Universal Testing and Treatment on HIV Incidence HPTN 071 (PopART). N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 207–18. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1814556
- 6. Tran BX, Ohinmaa A, Nguyen LT. Quality of life profile and psychometric properties of the EQ-5D-5L in HIV/AIDS patients. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2012; 10: 132. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-10-132

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the HPTN 071 (PopART) study team, to the participants, and their communities, for their contributions to this research. We acknowledge funding from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institute of Mental Health, President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief, International Initiative for Impact Evaluation, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Wellcome Trust and Medical Research Council. We have no relevant financial relationships with ineligible companies to disclose.

For more information, visit hptn.org and follow us:

Facebook: HIVptn | Twitter: @HIVptn | Youtube: HIVptn

Overall support for the HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) is provided by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), Office of the Director (OD), National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), and the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) under Award Numbers UM1AI068619-15 (HPTN Leadership and Operations Center), UM1AI068617-15 (HPTN Statistical and Data Management Center), and UM1AI068613-15 (HPTN Laboratory Center).

Presented at the 24th International AIDS Conference Montreal, 29th July – 2nd August 2022 Poster Number: PEM0C36